



December [XX], 2014

[First Name] [Last Name]

[Title]

[Office]

[Address]

[City], [State] [Zip]

Letter via email to: [Email]

Dear [Title] [Last Name]:

We are writing on behalf of the American College of Nurse-Midwives (ACNM), the professional association that represents certified nurse-midwives (CNMs) and certified midwives (CMs) in the United States with regard to issues around insurer provider network adequacy that have come to our attention as the result of a recent survey of health insurance plans, conducted by ACNM.

Nearly 11,500 CNMs/CMs in the US collectively attend 8% of all births in the country and nearly 12% of all vaginal births. In [Affiliate State] we collectively attend [State Percent]% of all births.¹

The hallmark of midwifery practice is to focus on fostering normal physiologic birth, which emphasizes practices that support the occurrence of innate, hormonally driven processes.² This practice differs significantly from that of physicians who are trained to use interventions to address complications. Multiple studies have validated that CNM/CM led care results in fewer inductions of labor, lower levels of analgesia, fewer cesarean births, fewer perineal tears, and fewer pre-term births.³ The midwifery model of care, is thus qualitatively and empirically

¹ CDC Vital Stats, Births - Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data_access/vitalstats/vitalstats_births.htm

² "Supporting Health and Normal Physiologic Childbirth: A Consensus Statement by ACNM, MANA and NACPM," May 14, 2012, available at: <http://midwife.org/ACNM/files/ACNMLibraryData/UPLOADFILENAME/00000000272/Physiological%20Birth%20Consensus%20Statement-%20FINAL%20May%2018%202012%20FINAL.pdf>

³ Meg Johantgen, PhD, RN, et. al., "Comparison of Labor and Delivery Care Provided by Certified Nurse-Midwives and Physicians: A Systematic Review, 1990 to 2008," *Women's Health Issues* 22-1 (2012) e73–e81.

Sandall J, Soltani H, Gates S, Shennan A, Devane D, "Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women (Review)," *The Cochrane Library*, 2013, Issue 8.

Petra ten Hoop-Bender, et. al., "Improvement of maternal and newborn health through midwifery," *The Lancet*, Published online June 23, 2014.

Elizabeth Schroeder, et. al., "Cost effectiveness of alternative planned places of birth in woman at low risk of complications: evidence from the Birthplace in England national prospective cohort study," *BMJ*, 2012;344:e2292.

Caroline S. Homer, et. al., "Community-based continuity of midwifery care versus standard hospital care: a cost analysis," *Australian Health Review*, Vol 24 • No 1, 2001.

Laurie Cawthon, MD, MPH, "Assessing Costs of Births in Varied Settings," presentation before the Institute of Medicine, March 7, 2013, available at: <http://www.iom.edu/~media/Files/Activity%20Files/Women/BirthSettings/6-MAR-2013/Cawthon%20PDF.pdf>

different than the prevalent medicalized model. Hundreds of thousands of women seek out this specific type of care every year.

As you are surely aware, maternity and newborn care is a required benefit category under the essential health benefits package that many insurers are statutorily required to cover.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services has largely left up to the states the work of determining whether insurers' provider networks are sufficient to ensure timely access to all benefits covered under their plan.

Because publicly available documents do not describe whether or not midwifery services are covered by marketplace plans, ACNM undertook a survey of insurers to make inquiry regarding their practices. A copy of the final survey report is attached for your perusal. Key findings include the following:

- Twenty percent of plans do not contract with CNMs to include them in their provider networks, even though CNMs are licensed to practice in all 50 states and the District of Columbia.
- Seventeen percent of plans do not cover primary care services offered by CNMs, even though ACNM standards defining the scope of practice for these providers, often incorporated by reference by state law, include primary care services.
- Fourteen percent of plans indicated they impose restrictions on CNM practice that conflict with their scope of practice under state laws and regulations.
- Twenty-four percent of plans will not cover CNM professional services provided in a birth center and 56% will not reimburse CNMs for home birth services.
- Ten percent of plans that contract with CNMs do not list them in their provider directories, making them invisible to potential and current enrollees.
- Forty percent of plans listing CNMs in their provider directories list them under the obstetrician-gynecologist category, which may make it difficult for women searching for "midwives" to find them.
- Forty-seven percent of plans do not contract with birth centers to cover facility costs associated with births in that setting, despite studies showing very good outcomes and low costs associated with these facilities.
- Eight percent of plans contracting with birth centers indicated they did not list them in their provider directory.

The provisions of Section 2706(a) of the Public Health Service Act state that:

Davis, Lorna G., CNM, et. al., "Cesarean Section Rates in Low-Risk Private Patients Managed by Certified Nurse-Midwives and Obstetricians," *Journal of Nurse-Midwifery*, vol. 39, no. 2, March/April 1994, pp. 91-97.
Rosenblatt, RA, "Interspecialty differences in the obstetric care of low-risk women," *American Journal Of Public Health*, vol. 87, issue 3, March 1997.

A group health plan and a health insurance issuer offering group or individual health insurance coverage shall not discriminate with respect to participation under the plan or coverage against any health care provider who is acting within the scope of that provider's license or certification under applicable State law.

It is a serious matter that a major provider of maternity and newborn care is being systematically excluded or discriminated against by plans participating in the exchanges.

State regulators have a strong interest in ensuring that high-value, low cost providers are included in the networks of plans operating in their states. Further, they have a legal responsibility to ensure that plans do not discriminate against providers acting within the scope of their license.

We strongly recommend that the **[Office]** look very closely at whether insurers operating in **[Full State Name]** are adequately including CNMs/CMs in their networks. If not, we recommend you take appropriate steps to ensure the availability of their services to the citizens of **[Full State Name]**.

We also request the opportunity to discuss your current efforts to ensure appropriate access to maternity and newborn care rendered by CNMs/CMs and how that may be informed by this survey. Please contact **[Affiliate First Name] [Affiliate Last Name]**, president of ACNM's **[Full State Name]** Affiliate organization, at **[Affiliate Phone Number]** or **[Affiliate Email]** to indicate your availability for such a discussion.

Should you have any questions about ACNM's survey, please contact Jesse Bushman, ACNM's Director of Advocacy and Government Affairs, at jbushman@acnm.org or 240 485-1843.

Kindly,

/JSB/

Jesse S. Bushman, MA, MALA
Director
Advocacy and Government Affairs
American College of Nurse-Midwives
8403 Colesville Road, Suite 1550
Silver Spring, MD 20910
jbushman@acnm.org
240 485-1843

/[Affiliate Initials]/

[Affiliate First Name] [Affiliate Last Name],
[Affiliate Title]
President
[State Full Name] Affiliate
American College of Nurse-Midwives
[Affiliate Address]
[Affiliate City], [Affiliate State] [Affiliate Zip]
[Affiliate Email]
[Affiliate Phone]