



March 19, 2015

Mary Collins
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services
Mailstop S3-02-01
7500 Security Boulevard
Baltimore, MD 21244
Letter via email to: mary.collins@cms.hhs.gov

Dear Ms. Collins:

I am writing on behalf of the American College of Nurse-Midwives (ACNM), the national professional association representing certified nurse-midwives (CNMs) and certified midwives (CMs) in the United States, with a question concerning CNMs working in critical access hospitals (CAHs). Specifically, I believe that an existing regulatory provision, requiring that all records of CAH inpatients treated by CNMs be reviewed by a physician, should be modified.

CAH Staffing Requirements

Under 42 CFR 485.631(b)(1)(iv) an MD or DO must review records for **all** inpatients treated by NPs, CNSs, CNMs and PAs, not just Medicare patients. The statutory basis for 42 CFR 485 is identified in 485.601 as Section 1820 of the Social Security Act. Section 1820 lays out requirements for CAHs, among them, staffing requirements (see 1820(c)(2)(B)(iv)). The staffing requirements established under Section 1820(c)(2)(B)(iv) are based, by reference, on those found at Section 1861(e).

The requirements of 1861(e)(4) stipulate that a hospital is defined as an institution that, among other things, "(4) has a requirement that every patient **with respect to whom payment may be made under this title** must be under the care of a physician..." Because 1820(c)(2)(B)(iv) refers to the provisions of Section 1861(e), properly understood, the staffing requirements of the CAH should reflect those of acute care hospitals.

In its State Operations Manual (Section A-0066 of Appendix A) CMS has made very clear that the COP for acute care hospitals that Medicare patients be under the care of an MD or DO does **not** apply to patients of a certified nurse-midwife who are covered by Medicaid or other payers. Specifically, it states that "in a State that permits midwives to admit patients (and in accordance with hospital policy and practitioner privileges), CMS requires **ONLY** Medicare patients of a midwife be under the care of a doctor of medicine or osteopathy. CMS **DOES NOT** require Medicaid or other non-Medicare patients admitted by a midwife to be under the care of a doctor of medicine or osteopathy."

Given that the staffing requirements for CAHs are based on Section 1820, and Section 1820

references Section 1861, the way in which CMS has interpreted the application of the staffing requirements for acute care hospitals should inform how these staffing requirements are implemented for CAHs. Clearly, with regard to acute care hospitals, CMS has indicated that individuals under the treatment of a CNM who are not covered by Medicare need not be placed under the care of a physician. ACNM believes that this same understanding should apply in the case of CAHs. Specifically, we believe that CMS should apply the requirement for acute care hospitals, that patients of a CNM be under their care and not that of an MD or DO, to the CAH setting, which should result in a modification of the CAH regulation eliminating the requirement that records for CNM patients be reviewed by a physician.

Inclusion of CNMs in List of NPPs Whose Inpatient Records Must be Reviewed by a Physician

In its final regulation, issued November 10, 2005 (70 FR 68712) CMS addressed comment received to the effect that MDs or DOs should only have to review a sample of inpatient records for patients treated by NPs, CNSs, CNMs or PAs as opposed to records for all inpatients. CMS responded to these comments by citing 1820(c)(2)(B)(iv)(III) as the basis for requiring the continued review of all NPP inpatient records by an MD or DO.

The precise language of 1820(c)(2)(B)(iv)(III) is: "(III) the inpatient care described in clause (iii) **may** be provided by a physician assistant, nurse practitioner, or clinical nurse specialist subject to the oversight of a physician who need not be present in the facility"

There is, within that sub-clause, no reference to CNMs. **The statute is silent with regard to the treatment of CNMs.** Furthermore, the statute uses the word "may" to describe the type of care that can be rendered by the enumerated non-physician practitioners (PAs, NPs, or CNSs), which leaves open the possibility that other NPPs (e.g., CNMs) may also provide care within the hospital, but not subject to the requirement for physician oversight.

The language of 485.631(b)(1)(iv) that requires review of all inpatient records for CNM patients thus goes beyond the very statutory language that CMS cites as a basis for this requirement. It is notable that CMS proposed the language of 485.631(b)(1)(iv) in a proposed regulation issued July 25, 2005. The preamble discussion, beginning at 70 FR 42753, does not provide any basis whatsoever for CMS' decision to include CNMs within this regulatory provision when they were not included in the list of providers found in Section 1820(c)(2)(B)(iv)(III). It appears that CMS simply added CNMs to the list because NPPs are habitually treated together as a class, and not because there was a statutory or health outcomes-based reason for doing so.

Recommendation

CNMs are licensed to practice in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and the Territories. In 25 states and the District of Columbia they have been given full practice authority under state law or regulation, meaning that they are not required to enter into a formal or legalistic supervisory or collaborative relationship with a physician. CNMs are often the major provider of obstetric services in the CAHs where they work. For example, the member of our association who approached me on this topic reported that in her CAH, CNMs attend 80% of all births and that the obstetrician who works with them sees the review of records as an unnecessary administrative burden that detracts from her ability to spend time caring for patients.

There is no statutory or evidence-based rationale for requiring that all records of CNMs' CAH inpatients be reviewed by a physician. ACNM recommends that CMS modify the language of 485.631(b)(1)(iv) to require periodic physician review of a sample of records for CNM inpatients treated in a CAH only in states that require physician supervision of CNMs. This would bring the language of the regulation into line with the statutory requirements and would be reflective of the flexibility that CMS has already allowed in the outpatient setting.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me. I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

/JSB/

Jesse S. Bushman, MA, MALA
Director
Advocacy and Government Affairs
American College of Nurse-Midwives
8403 Colesville Road, Suite 1550
Silver Spring, MD 20910
jbushman@acnm.org
240 485-1843